

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANCISCO RIVERA-ALMODOVAR,

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN, F.C.I. HERLONG,

Respondent.

No. 2:23-cv-0644 KJM CKD P

ORDER

Petitioner, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On June 8, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.


The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed June 8, 2023, are adopted in full; and
2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

DATED: July 27, 2023.


CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE